

1 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
2 Sarah M. Ray (Bar No. 229670)  
3 *sarah.ray@lw.com*  
4 Alicia R. Jovais (Bar. No. 296172)  
5 *alicia.jovais@lw.com*  
6 Diana A. Aguilar (Bar No. 304427)  
7 *diana.aguilar@lw.com*  
8 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000  
9 San Francisco, California 94111-6538  
10 Telephone: +1.415.391.0600  
11 Facsimile: +1.415.395.8095

12 Elyse M. Greenwald (Bar No. 268050)  
13 *elyse.greenwald@lw.com*  
14 200 Clarendon Street, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor  
15 Boston, MA 02116  
16 Telephone: +1.617.948.6000  
17 Facsimile: +1.617.948.6001

18 GATTEY LAW OFFICE  
19 Scott D. Gattey (Bar No. 180875)  
20 *scott@gatteylaw.com*  
21 1001 Laurel Street, Suite C  
22 San Carlos, California 94070  
23 Telephone: +1.650.596.7123  
24 Fax: +1.866.371.3491

25 *Attorneys for Defendant Oracle Corporation*

26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
27 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
28 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

29 GROUSE RIVER OUTFITTERS LTD.,

30 Plaintiff,

31 v.

32 ORACLE CORPORATION,

33 Defendant.

Case No. 3:16-cv-02954-LB

**PARTIES' JOINT STATUS UPDATE RE  
DKT. 319**

Pursuant to the Court's Order, Dkt. 319, the parties submit the following joint update:

**Grouse River's Position**

From its reading of the Court's order, Grouse River understands that the Court's concern is to allow the parties to "know[] what their openings will look like." Grouse River's proposal does that:

In its opening statement, Grouse River will not mention any component or amount of the damages it is seeking. It may assert that its evidence will show that it has been damaged by NetSuite, but will not refer to any specific damage theory or amount.

Oracle can present anything it wants to present.

This will allow the parties to prepare and give their opening statements, and allow sufficient time for the court to determine, Monday or perhaps even later, what damages components Grouse River may present to the jury during its case in chief. Grouse River's damages evidence will be presented at the end of Mr. Fallis's testimony, which likely will be on Tuesday afternoon, so the court can decide the issues presented in a deliberative and orderly process.

Oracle is not prejudiced by this. The Court can and will decide this, as it has indicated in its order, on Monday. Oracle can of course prepare its opening statement and say whatever it wants to say.

**Oracle's Position**

Oracle's position is that the Court should not summon the jury on Monday so that the Court can first resolve what computation of damages, if any, Grouse River may pursue at trial.

Grouse River's opposition to Oracle's motion *in limine* confirms that Grouse River has abandoned the only adequately disclosed computation of damages that remained in this case, and intends instead, to proceed to trial based upon the lump sum categories of damages disclosed in its interrogatory responses that are unsupported by any computation in the record (and reflect categories of damages that Grouse River is not entitled to recover as a matter of law). Oracle is preparing a response to Grouse River's opposition and intends to ask the Court to preclude Grouse River from introducing any evidence of damages and enter judgment in its favor pursuant to Federal Rules 26(a)(1)(A)(iii), 26(e), and 37(c)(1).

1 Oracle thus does not think that the Court should summon a jury on Monday because there  
 2 may no longer be a need for a jury (thus its language “at least”). But even if the Court disagrees  
 3 with Oracle, and concludes that there is some admissible computation of damages that Grouse  
 4 River is entitled to pursue at trial, Oracle must be given at least some additional time (until  
 5 Tuesday, assuming no additional discovery would be required) to prepare its opening statement  
 6 and defense, with an understanding of what those damages are and the evidence and computation  
 7 that underlies them.

8 Grouse River’s proposal does not address the fundamental problem: Oracle’s defense must  
 9 account for what measure and amount of damages Grouse River is entitled to seek. It cannot  
 10 effectively prepare and deliver an opening statement without this information.

11  
 12 Dated: July 5, 2019

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

13  
 14 By: /s/ Sarah M. Ray  
 Sarah M. Ray  
 Attorneys for Defendant *Oracle Corporation*

15  
 16 Dated: July 5, 2019

17  
 18 By: /s/ Loren Kieve  
 Loren Kieve  
 Attorneys for Plaintiff *Grouse River Outfitters, Ltd*

**SIGNATURE ATTESTATION**

I, Sarah M. Ray, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file the foregoing Joint Status Update. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained.

Dated: July 5, 2019

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

By: /s/ Sarah M. Ray  
Sarah M. Ray  
Attorneys for Defendant *Oracle Corporation*